

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,652	06/28/2006	Tadaaki Kaneko	285507US0PCT	7566	
OBLON SPIX	7590 01/04/201 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			LANGMAN, JONATHAN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1784			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/04/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,652	KANEKO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JONATHAN C. LANGMAN	1784	

	JONATHAN C. LANGMAN	1784					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
E REPLY FILED 16 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office large yreduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL		Disability to the same and the					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>\( \)The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a final filed after a final rejection, to a filed file</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ected claims.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12     Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_				
7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8:23 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1784

/Jennifer C McNeil/

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitaitons were not presented before and would require further consideration.